

**Effect of Stocking Density on Growth Performance and Economic Return in Semi-Intensive and Extensive Fish Culture Methods in Earthen Ponds**

**Ahmed Abdel-Rahman Hassan\* and Ahmed Abdel-Fattah Mahmoud**

Department of Aquaculture, Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research (CLAR), Abbassa, Abou-Hammed, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt.

\*Corresponding Author

**ABSTRACT**

Effect of stocking density on fish performance has been investigated in earthen ponds over five months of growing period in a polyculture system including Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*), mullet (*Mugel cephalus*) and African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). Experimental ponds have been allocated to various stocking rates of 1, 1.50, 2.00, 2.50, 10 and 15 fish/m<sup>3</sup>. The treatments of 10 and 15 fish/m<sup>3</sup> represented the semi-intensive system, while the lower densities represented the extensive culture system. Fish in all treatments fed on 25% crude protein pelleted fish feed at a rate of 3% of body weight that was adjusted throughout the growing period. Feed was offered twice/day for five days/week. Water quality has been monitored monthly. The physico-chemical characters showed two distinct sets of values for the extensive and the semi-intensive stocking densities concurred with for the main water quality parameters, whereas the values of pH, E.C., salinity, alkalinity, hardness, total phosphorus and orthophosphate were significantly higher in extensive system than in semi-intensive system, while the values of D.O, SD, NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> were higher in the semi-intensive system compared to the in extensive system. Plankton communities expressed as Chlorophyll "a" was significantly higher in the extensive ponds. The results showed also that average fish production was significantly higher in semi-intensive ponds compared to that in extensive ponds. However, for the main fish species (Nile tilapia), the average final weight as well as the average daily weight gain did not vary among treatments, while there were some differences with regard to average final weight for other species. There was no difference concerning the condition factor in all treatments for any of the tested species. The economical analysis revealed increases in variable costs as well as higher net returns when the stocking density increased. However, the rate of return\_ to capital percentage has

## HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

decreased with the increase of the stocking density. The overall analysis suggested that the semi-intensive systems are the most profitable system.

**Keywords:** Polyculture, Intensive, Extensive, Water quality, Plankton, Growth performance, Economic evaluation, Tilapia, Mullet, Carp, Catfish.

### INTRODUCTION

The fish polyculture system as practiced in aquaculture via stocking of fish species of different food habits proved to be an important management tool in utilizing efficiently the natural food resources in fish pond. Synergistic interactions among fish species are manifested by higher growth and yield in polyculture than in monoculture (Karplus *et al.* 1996). The bases for these interactions are the increase of available food resources and the improvement of environmental conditions (Milstein, 1992).

Fish polyculture system was first practiced in China since more than a thousand years ago. It was extremely extensive, requiring little management, stocking several species at low density, often without application of feeds, and producing relatively low yield at low production costs (Lin, 1982). In semi-intensive farming systems, usually natural food is stimulated through the application of organic manures or chemical fertilizers, while feeds are supplied to supplement the available natural food. Aeration devices and automatic feeders have been incorporated into such system

whenever possible. By definition, fish are stocked in the semi-intensive system at higher densities compared to that in the extensive ones, and thus, production costs as well as yields are expected to be much higher. In these systems, the supplemented organic wastes and feeds are utilized directly by the fish and also act as fertilizers for the heterotrophic and autotrophic food web. Under manuring and supplemental feeding, natural food still represents an important component of the overall food utilized by fish. The autotrophic path constitutes not only the food source of plankton filter feeding fish, like silver carp, but also provides 60-80% and 50% of tilapia and carp requirements, respectively. The fish growth can even be attributed to natural food developed on the pond bottom (karplus, 1996).

Semi-intensive polyculture and monoculture systems are widely used in fish culture in Egypt, practiced in shallow earthen ponds and in deep dual-purpose irrigation reservoirs. The main species cultured in commercial ponds are Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, common carp *Cyprinus carpio*, silver carp, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*,

## STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS

stripped mullet, *Mugil cephalus* and grey mullet, *Liza ramada*. These species are stocked in variety of combinations. The outcomes of such system as fish biomass vary widely.

Recently, along with the intensification trend in Egyptian aquaculture, the requirement for high quality feed has increased. Such higher production costs as well as the high investments have called for better management practices. The contribution of feed costs in fish production increases along with the level of intensification, reaching the highest component in farm operation (Islam, 2002). From economic point of view, such practices may not suite the small-scale farmers with little resources whether in the tropics and to some extent in some parts of Egypt. This is due to finance of constraints which limit the ability of those farmers from accessing the commercially produced pelleted feeds. Thus, in order for those farms to utilize easy and find feed at low cost, alternative management strategies are required.

Fish stocking density is a key factor in determining the management of fish pond and so, the production. It affects the amounts of natural food available per fish, the level of supplemented feeding required (Hepher, 1988), and thus, the intensity of inter- and intra-specific food

competition. With increasing stocking density, competition for food among fish increases. The optimal combined stocking densities of several species of fish cultured together should be carefully analyzed in order to optimize both fish performance (growth and yield) and economic profitability of the combined system. Therefore, the objectives of the present study are to evaluate fish production and growth performance as well as the grow-out environments under pond farm at different stocking densities. Economical evaluation for farming systems were also, as tested the main element in the study. Finally, the study tackled the issue of the use of drainage water in Egyptian fish farming.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in eighteen earthen ponds with one feedan area each, and with an average water depth of 1.25m Ponds were located at Barsseek farm belongs to the General Authority for Fish Resources Development were used in this study. Aeration devices were used in the six ponds (2 Impeller Paddle wheel Aerator-AR-A232-2 h), while, no aeration was practiced in the twelve ponds. Fish were stocked in 15 May and harvested in 20 October 2008, after about 155 day of growth period. Each treatment was conducted in three replicates.

## HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

The present study consisted of two parts, which were conducted in completely randomized design. The first group was used to evaluate the fish stocking density under the semi-intensive culture system at a rate of 10 and 15fish/m<sup>3</sup>. The second part of the study was targeted to evaluate the lower stocking density ,extensive culture system, at the rate of 1, 1.5, 2.00 and 2.50fish/m<sup>3</sup> have been used as

shown in Table 1. The two systems had been stocked with the same fish species, namely, Nile tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus*); common carp, (*Cyprinus carpio*), silver carp, (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*), mullet, (*Mugil cephalus*) and catfish, (*Clarias gariepinus*). Commercial feed pellets (25% crude protein) was used

**Table (1): Stocking fish number and initial weights for different fish polyculture species in experimental earthen ponds.**

Species Treatments	Nile Tilapia			Mullet			Common Carp		
	No	I.w g	T.w kg	No	I.w g	T.w kg	No	I.w g	T.w kg
1	38220	50	1911	2100	50	105	840	50	42.0
		±			±	±			
2	57330	5.0	2866.5	3150	3.0	5.5	1260	3.0	1.2
		±			±	±			
3	3822	50	57.33	210	50	157.5	84	50	63.0
		±			±	±			
4	5733	5.0	85.99	315	3.0	6.3	126	3.0	2.52
		±			±	±			
5	7644	15	114.66	420	30	9.45	168	30	3.78
		±			±	±			
6	9555	2.0	143.32	525	1.5	0.4	210	3.0	0.2
		±			±	±			
		15			30	12.6		30	5.04
		±			±	±			
		2.0			1.5	1.1		3.0	0.5
		±			±	±			
		15			30	15.75		30	6.3
		±			±	±			
		2.0			1.5	1.2		3.0	0.75
		±			±	±			

**STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS**

throughout the present study and was provided twice a day; five days a week at a rate of 3% of body weight and automatically and manually distributed for the semi-intensive and extensive fish ponds, respectively. All experimental ponds were fertilized using poultry liter (150 kg/Fadden). Thereafter, ponds were filled with water for no longer than two weeks before fish stocking. Fish sampling for all species was carried out monthly and

the amount of feed was adjusted accordingly.

The chemical composition of the diet used was 90% dry matter, 89.5% organic matter, 25% crude protein, 6% ether extract, 7% crude fiber, 50.93% nitrogen free extract and 11.07% ash, calculated gross (GE= 416) energy while that of poultry manure was 92.37% dry matter, 67.5% organic matter,

**Table (1): Continued**

Species Treatments	Silver Carp			Catfish			Total		No of fish per- m <sup>3</sup>
	No	I.w g	T.w kg	No	I.w g	T.w kg	NO per feddan	T.w Kg	
1	420	50	21.0	420	50	21.0	42000	2100	10.0
		±	±		±	±			
		5.0	0.6		5.0	0.6		31.5	
2	630	50	31.5	630	50	31.5	63000	3150	15.0
		±	±		±	±			
		5.0	0.7		5.0	0.7		35.5	
3	42	50	2.1	42	50	2.1	4200	70.35	1.0
		±	±		±	±			
		5.0	0.2		5.0	0.2		3.2	
4	63	50	3.15	63	50	3.15	6300	105.5	1.5
		±	±		±	±			
		5.0	0.3		5.0	0.3		3.4	
5	84	50	4.2	84	50	4.2	8400	140.7	2.0
		±	±		±	±			
		5.0	0.4		5.0	0.4		3.44	
6	105	50	5.25	105	50	5.25	10500	175.9	2.5
		±	±		±	±			
		5.0	0.5		5.0	0.5		5.5	

## HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

18.19% crud protein, 1.92% ether extract, 12.57% crud fiber, 34.82% nitrogen free extract and 32.5% ash.

Water sampling was carried out for several parameters of concern to aquaculture. Physico-chemical water quality parameters were monitored monthly. Temperature and dissolved oxygen were determined directly by a portable digital oxygen meter (YSI model 58, USA). pH was measured using a digital pH meter (Accumet 340) and transparency by a secchi disc. Water electrical conductivity and salinity were determined by conductivity meter (Orion 630), Nitrate, nitrite and free ammonia were determined using a HACH water analysis kits (DR 2000), while total phosphorus, orthophosphate, total alkalinity, total hardness, chlorophyll "a" were determined according to standard methods (APHA, 2000) 100ml water sample was filtered through 0.45 M Millipore filter and chlorophyll *a* was extracted in 5 ml of 90% acetone and grinded by tissue grinder, kept for 24h at 5°C. The sample was then centrifuged and measured the absorbance of acetone, chlorophyll *a* concentration was calculated using the equation:

$$\text{Chlorophyll } a \text{ in } \mu\text{g} = 11.9(A_{665} - A_{750}) / V \times L \times 1000 / s$$

Where:

$A_{665}$  = the absorbance at 665A,  $A_{750}$  the absorbance at 750,

V = the acetone extract in ml,

L = the length path in the spectrophotometer in cm,

S = the volume in ml of sample filtered.

Qualitative and quantitative estimates of phytoplankton and zooplankton were also estimated monthly according to APHA(2000). The analyses of heavy metals in water and different fish species were determined using atomic absorption (Thermo 6500,) with graphite furnace according to APHA (2000).

At the end of the experiment, fish were harvested, counted, graded to four weight classes [first class=1-5, second class=6-12, third class=13-24, and fourth class=25-40 fish/kg] to calculate the total harvest (kg). The growth parameters were calculated as follows:

Daily weight gain (DG) =  $(W_{t_2} - W_{t_1}) / T$ ; Specific growth rate (SGR) =  $(\ln W_{t_2} - \ln W_{t_1}) \times 100 / T$ ; where  $W_{t_1}$  is the initial weight in grams,  $W_{t_2}$  is the second weight in grams, and T is the period in days; Condition factor (K) =  $\text{Body weight} / \text{Total length}^3 \times 100$ .

Economic analysis was done at the end of the study. The total return

## STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS

(value of fish harvest), total variable costs (value of fingerlings, feed pellets, poultry manure, labor, irrigation water, and interest on variable capital), total fixed costs (depreciation of pond equipment and interest on investment) and net return [total return - (total variable costs + total fixed costs)] were calculated according to Shaker and Abdel-Aal (2006).

Statistical analysis was performed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955) was used to determine the significant differences between means at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Standard errors of treatment means were also estimated. All statistical evaluations were carried out using Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS) program (SAS, 2000) according to Steel and Torrie (1960).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 1. Water quality

The results of physico-chemical parameters and the selected heavy metals in pond water under different experimental conditions are shown in Tables (2&3). The pH values in pond water of the treatments ranged from 8.35 to 9.5. The pH values in extensive ponds were not significantly different. Also the increase of fish

density from 1.0 to 2.50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> did not affect pH values ( $P > 0.05$ ). This result is in agreement with Shaker *et al.* (2002) and Shaker and Abdel-Aal (2006). However, the increase in fish density from 2.50 to 15 fish/m<sup>3</sup> has significantly affected the pH values here indicating that they improved significantly with the increase in stocking density. Dissolved oxygen (DO mg/l) concentrations ranged from 4.2 to 6.8 mg/l in all treatments. DO concentrations in extensive ponds did not vary significantly, also there was a slight difference the difference was significant between semi-intensive culture ponds and the others. The use of paddle wheel in semi-intensive ponds enhanced significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) the DO concentrations in ponds furnished by those aerators compared to the rest of the ponds.

Results of Table (2) revealed that differences among the applied treatments in averages of water temperature were insignificant and ranged between 27.0 and 27.4°C. Temperature, the pH and dissolved oxygen values in all ponds, although fluctuated from time to time but within the acceptable and favorable levels required for growth, survival and well being of the tested fish species Essa *et al.* (1989).

HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

Table(2): Means  $\pm$  standard errors of some physicochemical of water samples of experimental ponds.

Items Treat.	Density Fish/ m <sup>3</sup>	Temp. °C	pH	D. O mg/l	Satura. %	SD Cm	NH <sub>3</sub> mg/l	NO <sub>2</sub> mg/l	NO <sub>3</sub> mg/l
1	10	27.4	8.5	6.6	81.5	24.5	2.4	0.36	0.35
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		1.1 a	0.9 b	1.0 a	5.5 a	2.5 a	0.4 a	0.12 b	0.1 b
2	15	27.3	8.3 5	6.8	85.1	26.5	2.6	0.46	0.41
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		0.7 a	1.0 b	1.0 a	5.1 a	3.5 a	0.6 a	0.11	0.12a
3	1	27.0	9.4	4.2	64.7	9.5	1.2	0.11	0.15
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		0.6 a	1.1 a	0.5 b	3.5 b	1.0 b	0.4 b	0.03 c	0.05c
4	1.5	27.1	9.5	4.4	65.4	11.0	1.3	0.13	0.15
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		0.8 a	0.9 a	0.5 b	6.5 b	1.0 b	0.5 b	0.04 c	0.05c
5	2	27.1	9.45	4.3	63.5	11.5	1.4	0.15	0.18
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		1.0 a	0.5 a	0.5 b	4.5 b	1.5 b	0.5 b	0.04	0.06c
6	2.5	27.1	9.45	4.3	64.1	13.0	1.5	0.21	0.24
		$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\pm$
		0.6 a	0.5 a	0.4 b	6.1 b	1.5 b	0.3 b	0.05 c	0.06c

Mean in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (Duncan multiple Test  $P < 0.05$ )  
 Temp = Temperature °C, D .O = Dissolved Oxygen, Satura. = Saturation% , T.alk. = Total alkalinity, T.H = total hardness T.P = total phosphorus, O.P = ortho phosphate

The secchi disc SD readings were higher in the semi-intensive ponds indicating the low standing crop and abundance of plankton in these ponds compared to the extensive cultured ponds. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Essa *et al* (1989) and Shaker (2002).

The average levels of ammonia-nitrogen were 2.4; 2.6; 1.2; 1.3; 1.4 and 1.5 mg/l for semi-intensive treatments (1-2) and extensive treatments (3-6), respectively. The concentrations of the un-ionized ammonia (toxic form) NH<sub>3</sub>-N in the present study was lower

than those recorded in fertilized fish ponds at Lake Burullus (Mosua, 2004). The increase of NH<sub>3</sub>-N in the semi-intensive ponds compared to other treatments could be explained by the decomposition of organic matter and via the direct excretion of ammonia by the large biomass of fish.

The NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations in water followed the same trend of ammonia-nitrogen. The concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> were also higher in semi-intensive treatments. These results may be due to the consumption of nitrate (which is an

**STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS**

**Table (2): Continued.**

Items Treat.	Density Fish/ m <sup>3</sup>	T. N mg/l	E. C mmhos/Cm	Salinity g/l	T. alk mg/l	T.H mg/l	T. P mg/l	O. P mg/l	Chlorophyll''a'' µg/l
1	10	5.4	2.4	1.99	289	409	0.91	0.31	23.5
		± 1.6a	± 0.3b	± 0.1 b	± 50 b	± 72 b	± 0.06b	± 0.06b	± 4.6 b
2	15	5.8	2.4	1.8	294	434	0.95	0.33	26.5
		± 1.8 a	± 0.2 b	± 0.1 b	± 42 b	± 70 b	± 0.14b	± 0.07b	± 3.1 b
3	1	3.2	3.2	2.5	374	484	1.55	0.75	113.6
		± 1.1 b	± 0.3 a	± 0.1 a	± 64 a	± 56 a	± 0.24a	± 0.11a	± 12.6 a
4	1.5	3.15	3.1	2.5	379	485	1.71	0.76	95.5
		± 0.9 b	± 0.3 a	± 0.1 a	± 60 a	± 66 a	± 0.17a	± 0.14a	± 14.1 a
5	2	3.26	3.30	2.5	379	504	1.73	0.75	95.5
		± 1.1 b	± 0.3 a	± 0.1 a	± 56 a	± 54 a	± 0.19a	± 0.13a	± 10.1 a
6	2.5	3.3	2.99	2.6	384	494	1.75	0.74	98.5
		± 1.16b	± 0.3 a	± 0.1 a	± 52 a	± 74 a	± 0.21a	± 0.19a	± 6.9 a

**Table (3): Phytoplankton and zooplankton in the experimental ponds.**

Items Treat.	Phytoplankton (org x 10 <sup>6</sup> )					Zooplankton (org/L)				
	lue- Green	Green	Bacill- aroph	Cyano- ph	Total	Copep.	Clado- cera	Rotifera	Ostra- coda	Total
1	060	82	540	113	2595	55	34	21	12	122
2	76	75	358	80	1989	46	27	13	10	96
3	570	253	1112	819	9754	201	193	96	52	542
4	980	119	920	717	6736	140	120	90	55	405
5	582	753	800	697	5832	128	95	71	42	336
6	100	453	680	577	4810	109	84	59	37	289

*Bacillaroph.* = *Bacillarobhyta*, *Cyanoph* = *Cyanophyta*

## HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

essential, the increase of nitrate in semi-intensive treatments may be related to the decrease of phytoplankton standing crops. It is of particular interest to notice a negative correlation between nitrate content and total phytoplankton which may be attributed to high consumption rate of NO<sub>3</sub>-N by the dense vegetation. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Shaker *et al.* (2002); Shaker and Abdel-Aal (2006); Islam (2002) ; Mousa (2004) and Hassan (2010).

The average concentrations of total alkalinity (T. alk.) and total hardness (T.H) were suitable for fish growth, survival and well being. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Mousa (2004). The average concentrations of total phosphorus (T.P) and orthophosphate (O.P) were lesser in semi-intensive than in the other treatments. These results may be due to the water exchange in semi-intensive pond that led to the decrease of organic matter in these ponds. The average concentration of chlorophyll "a" increased with decreasing fish density per m<sup>3</sup>. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Essa *et al* (1988).

The average numbers of phytoplankton and zooplankton (Table 3) decreased with the increase of fish stocking density. The average number

of phytoplankton and zooplankton in semi-intensive were 2292,109; but in was 6783 and 393org. /l in extensive ponds, respectively. These results clearly demonstrate that the total amount of phytoplankton and zooplankton decreased in semi-intensive ponds compared to extensive ponds. Also, these results are in agreement with those obtained by Essa *et al* .(1988); Shaker (2002); Shaker and Abdel-Aal (2006) and Hassan and Agouz (2010). Fish semi-intensive farming is usually based on artificial feed, while in extensive system depends mainly on natural food supplemented by supplementary or artificial feed which in turn decreases the production cost per kg of fish in the extensive system. Generally, there were positive correlation among total phosphorus (T.P); ortho phosphate (O.P); chlorophyll "a" and plankton community and inverse correlation with secchi disk reading in fish ponds. Chlorophyll "a" contents in water ponds were related to the occurrence of phytoplankton in ponds water.

### ***2-Fish performance***

Fish production and growth performance parameters are illustrated in Tables (4&5). The average final weights of Nile tilapia were 232.5 and 240g for semi-intensive and extensive ponds. Respectively. It is clear that the average weights of Nile tilapia and

**STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS**

**Table(4): Fish production and net production of experimental ponds**

Fish sp.	Nile Tilapia		Mullet		Common Carp		Silver Carp		Catfish		Total Production Kg / feddan	Net production kg/ feddan
	A.Wtg	T.Wt	A.Wtg	T.Wt	A.Wtg	T.Wt	A.Wtg	T.Wt	A.Wtg	T.Wt		
Treat.	kg		kg		kg		kg		kg			
1	235	8982	250	525	900	756	550	231	1750	735	11229	9129
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	26a	356b	26a	22b	101b	26b	30c	10c	156a	42a	356b	304b
2	230	12613	250	787	875	1102	500	315	1750	1102	15919	12769
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	26a	456a	36a	26a	110b	36a	30c	10c	166a	32a	356a	324a
3	245	936	360	76	1700	143	1400	59	1600	67	1281	1210.7
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	29a	99c	22a	16e	130a	18c	76a	14a	165a	22a	372c	316c
4	245	1405	280	88	1050	132	1150	72	1350	85	1782	1676.5
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	36a	91c	38a	19d	109b	20b	75b	12b	172ab	20b	136c	136c
5	235	1796	260	109	980	165	1050	88	1300	109	2267	2126.3
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	30a	79c	29a	28d	92b	14b	64b	12b	148ab	30b	118c	112c
6	235	2245	260	136	590	124	810	85	1100	115	2705	2529.1
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	20a	109c	16a	29c	75c	16b	44bc	16c	120b	18b	98c	88c

HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

Table (5): Growth performance of different fish species under different aquaculture systems on the experimental ponds.

Items	Daily Gain					Specific Growth Rate					Condition factor				
	N. Tilapia	Mullet	C. Carp	S. Carp	Cat-fish	N. Tilapia	Mullet	C. Carp	S. Carp	Cat-fish	N. Tilapia	Mullet	C. Cnrp	S. Carp	Cat-fish
1	1.19	1.29	5.48	3.22	10.97	1.00	1.04	1.86	1.55	4.82	1.45	1.2	2.5	2.51	2.57
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
2	0.14a	0.11b	0.24b	0.36c	1.92a	0.1b	0.1c	0.12 b	0.12b	0.2a	0.2a	0.11a	0.15a	0.14a	0.22a
	1.16	1.29	5.32	2.90	10.97	0.98	1.04	1.85	1.48	2.29	1.63	1.22	2.67	2.54	2.67
3	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0.1a	0.11b	0.46b	0.26b	1.56a	0.1b	0.1c	0.16 b	0.12b	0.22a	0.17a	0.11a	0.15a	0.12a	0.24a
4	1.48	2.13	10.77	8.71	10.00	2.19	1.60	2.60	2.15	2.23	1.48	1.33	2.64	2.76	2.69
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
5	0.13a	0.12a	1.1a	1.16a	1.11a	0.12a	0.1a	0.17a	0.12a	0.17a	0.14a	0.12a	0.12a	0.11a	0.21a
	1.48	1.61	6.58	7.10	8.39	1.80	1.44	2.29	2.02	2.12	1.62	1.24	2.57	2.52	2.46
6	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0.15a	0.12b	0.46b	0.46b	1.1b	0.12a	0.1b	0.17a	0.4a	0.21a	0.12a	0.1a	0.14a	0.32a	0.17a
7	1.42	1.48	6.13	6.45	8.06	1.77	1.39	2.25	1.96	2.10	1.59	1.27	2.62	2.54	2.57
	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
8	0.14a	0.12b	0.76b	0.96b	0.96b	0.14a	0.1b	0.17a	0.17a	0.17a	0.13a	0.1a	0.12a	0.24a	0.24a
	1.42	1.48	3.61	4.90	6.77	1.77	1.39	1.92	1.80	1.99	1.59	1.22	3.12	2.59	2.57
9	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±
	0.14a	0.12b	0.39b	0.79b	0.79b	0.15a	0.1b	0.17 b	0.17b	0.15a	0.12a	0.1a	0.11a	0.26a	0.14a

mulletts were higher in semi-intensive system than in extensive conditions. This may be due to the use of artificial feed in addition to natural food the semi-intensive culture system and both species were able to utilize it efficiently. In the natural food condition that supplemented by

artificial feed in extensive system, silver carp, common carp and catfish were able to utilize natural communities better and so attaining higher growth rates in extensive condition than in semi-intensive ones.

The average total fish production for all treatments were 11.229;15.919;

**STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS**

1.281; 1.782; 2.267 and 2.705 kg/feddan for 10; 15; 1.0; 1.50; 2.00 and 2.50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> density treatments, respectively. These results clearly demonstrate the positive correlation between fish production and stocking density. These findings agree with those obtained by Essa *et al.* (1988) Shaker *et al.* (2002), Sumagaysay and Lourdes (2003); Yang Yi *et al.* (2003) and Shaker and Abdel-Aal (2006) who found that phytoplankton based food chain was relatively unimportant in pond culture that relies on artificial feed to promote fish growth.

From the data presented in Table (5), it is clear also that the daily gain of catfish was higher than other fish species as silver carp, common carp, mullet and Nile tilapia. Also, the daily gain of different fish species was

higher in extensive ponds than semi-intensive ponds. These results indicated that the daily gain for each species was negatively correlated with stocking density. Moreover, the same trend was observed in the specific growth rate (SGR). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Karplus *et al.* (1996) and Yang Yi *et al.* (2003). The condition factor did not vary among all species in all treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ), which indicated the suitability of environment to fish growth and survival (Essa *et al.*, 1988).

**3- Economical analysis**

The economical analysis for the present study is presented in Table (7&8), while the comparison between semi-intensive and extensive treatments is presented in Table (6).

**Table (6): Effect of culture systems on some economical parameters.**

Items	treatments	
	Semi-intensive	Extensive
Stocking density/m <sup>3</sup>	12.5	1.75
Fish production	13574	2008.75
Net production	10949	1885.65
Total phytoplankton org/l	2292	6783
Total zooplankton	109	393
Daily gain	21.89	24.99
Specific growth rate S.G.R.	8.95	9.69
Condition factors	10.48	10.75
Total costs	74795.91	8382.01
Net return	14167.09	5041.49
Average cost/kg	5.45	4.18
Return kg above T.V.C. LE	1.15	2.83
Rate of return to capital %	20.81	60.15
Economical efficiency %	120.81	160.15

HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

**Table (7): The economic values per feddan of fish production in L.E.**

Item	Unit	Price	Treatment 1		Treatment 2		Treatment 3	
			Quan	Value L.E	Quan	Value LE	Quan	Value L.E
Tilapia 1 <sup>st</sup> class	K.g	8.00	4807	38456	6518	52144	527	4216
Tilapia 2 <sup>nd</sup> class	K.g	6.00	3098	18588	4248	25488	323	1938
Tilapia 3 <sup>rd</sup> class	K.g	3.50	970	3395	1403	4910	73	255
Tilapia 4 <sup>th</sup> class	K.g	2.00	107	214	444	888	13	26
Tilapia 1 <sup>st</sup> class	K.g	12.00	448	5376	630	7560	76	912
Tilapia 2 <sup>nd</sup> class	K.g	9.00	77	693	157	1413	-	-
Common Carp	K.g	4.00	756	3024	1102	4408	143	572
Silver Carp	K.g	4.00	231	924	315	1260	59	236
Cat Fish	K.g	5.00	735	3675	1102	5510	67	335
<b>Total return</b>	-	-	<b>11229</b>	<b>74345</b>	<b>15919</b>	<b>103581</b>	<b>1281</b>	<b>8590</b>
<b>Variable costs</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fingerlings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T.50 g	1000	250	38.220	9555	57.330	14332.5	-	-
T.15 g	1000	100	-	-	-	-	38822	382.2
M.50g	1000	350	2100	735	3150	1102.5	-	-
M. 30g	1000	250	-	-	-	-	210	52.5
C.C.50g	1000	120	840	100.8	1260	151.2	-	-
C.C.30g	1000	100	-	-	-	-	84	8.4
S.C.50g	1000	120	420	50.4	630	75.6	42	5.00
C.F.50g	1000	350	420	147	-	220.5	42	14.7
<b>Total costs of fish</b>	-	-	-	<b>10588.2</b>	36.04	<b>15882.3</b>	-	<b>463.8</b>
Feed	Ton	2000	22.01	44020	-	72080	1.98	3960
Poultry manure	M 3	50	-	-	10	-	1.2	60
Irrigation water	hr.	2.50	10	25	1	25	10	25
Aerator	Unit	520	1	520	-	520	1	-
Labor	-	-	-	250	-	250	-	50
<b>Interest ion operating (7%)</b>	-	-	-	1617.77	-	2591.71	-	133.09
<b>Total variable costs T.V.C</b>	-	-	-	57020.97	-	91349.01	-	4690.89
<b>Income above T.V.C.</b>	-	-	-	17324.03	-	12231.99	-	3899.11
Fixed costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deprecation pond equipment D.P.E.	-	-	-	200	-	200	-	200
<b>Interest ion investment (13%)</b>	-	-	-	410.92	-	410.92	-	410.92
<b>Total fixed costs</b>	-	-	-	610.92	-	610.92	-	610.92
Total costs	-	-	-	57631.89	-	91959.93	-	5301.81
<b>Net return</b>	-	-	-	<b>16713.11</b>	-	<b>11621.07</b>	-	<b>3288.19</b>

**STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS**

**Table (7): Continued.**

Item	Unit	Price	Treatment 4		Treatment 5		Treatment 6	
			Quan	Value L.E	Quan	Value LE	Quan	Value L.E
Tilapia 1 <sup>st</sup> class	K.g	8.00	824	6592	984	7872	1216	9728
Tilapia 2 <sup>nd</sup> class	K.g	6.00	462	2772	591	3546	747	4482
Tilapia 3 <sup>rd</sup> class	K.g	3.50	99	346	197	689	247	864
Tilapia 4 <sup>th</sup> class	K.g	2.00	20	40	24	48	35	70
Tilapia 1 <sup>st</sup> class	K.g	12.00	78	936	99	1188	106	1272
Tilapia 2 <sup>nd</sup> class	K.g	9.00	10	90	10	90	30	270
Common Carp	K.g	4.00	132	528	165	665	124	496
Silver Carp	K.g	4.00	72	288	88	352	85	340
Cat Fish	K.g	5.00	85	425	109	545	115	575
<b>Total return</b>	-	-	<b>1782</b>	<b>12017</b>	<b>2267</b>	<b>14990</b>	<b>2705</b>	<b>18097</b>
<b>Variable costs</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fingerlings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T.50 g	1000	250	-	-	-	-	-	-
T.15 g	1000	100	5733	573.30	7644	764.4	9555	955.5
M..50g	1000	350	-	-	-	-	-	-
M. 30g	1000	250	315	78.75	420	105	525	-
C.C.50g	1000	120	-	-	-	-	-	-
C.C.30g	1000	100	126	12.6	168	16.8	210	21
S.C.50g	1000	120	63	7.56	84	10.08	105	12.6
C.F.50g	1000	350	63	22.05	84	420	105	525
Total costs of fish	-	-	-	694.26	-	1316.28	-	1514.1
Feed	Ton	2000	2.95	5900	3.82	7640	4.14	8280
Poultry manure	M 3	50	1.2	60	1.2	60	1.2	60
Irrigation water	hr.	2.50	10	25	10	25	10	25
Aerator	Unit	520	-	-	-	-	-	-
Labor	-	-	-	50	-	50	-	50
Interest on operating (7%)	-	-	-	196.49	-	262.40	-	289.93
<b>Total variable costs</b>	-	-	-	6925.75	-	9353.68	-	10219.03
<b>T.V.C</b>	-	-	-	6925.75	-	9353.68	-	10219.03
<b>Income above T.V.C.</b>	-	-	-	5091.25	-	5636.32	-	7877.97
Fixed costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deprecation pond equipment D.P.E.	-	-	-	200	-	200	-	200
Interest on investment (13%)	-	-	-	410.92	-	410.92	-	410.92
<b>Total fixed costs</b>	-	-	-	610.92	-	610.92	-	610.92
Total costs	-	-	-	7536.67	-	9963.68	-	10829.95
<b>Net return</b>	-	-	-	<b>4480.33</b>	-	<b>5026.32</b>	-	<b>2767.05</b>

## HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

**Table (8): Economic efficiency for different aquaculture systems.**

Item	Treatments					
	Semi-intensive			Extensive		
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
Total return (L.E)	74345	103581	8590	12017	14990	18097
Net return (L.E)	16713.11	11621.07	3288.19	4480.33	5026.32	7267.05
Total production (K.g)	11229	15919	1281	1782	2267	2705
Average price (L.E)	6.62	6.51	6.70	6.74	6.61	6.69
Total variable costs T.V. C. (L.E)	57020.98	91349.01	4690.89	6925.75	9353.68	10219.03
Total fixed costs (L.E)	610.92	610.92	610.92	610.92	610.92	610.92
Total costs (L.E)	57631.89	91959.93	5301.81	7536.67	9963.68	10829.95
Income above T.V.C. (L.E)	17324.03	12231.99	3899.11	5091.25	5636.32	7877.97
Average/ kg production (L.E)	5.08	5.74	3.66	3.89	4.13	3.78
Average/ kg cost(L.E)	5.13	5.78	4.14	4.23	4.39	4.00
Return / kg above T.V.C (L.E)	1.54	0.77	3.04	2.86	2.49	2.91
Value of production /L.E T.V. C. (L.E)	130.38	113.39	183.12	173.51	160.26	177.09
Rate of return to capital %	28.99	12.64	62.02	59.45	50.45	67.10
Economical efficiency	128.99	112.64	162.02	159.45	150.45	167.10

The total return values per Fadden were 74.345; 103.581; 8.590; 12.017; 14.990 and 18.097 LE for T1; T2; T3; T4; T5 and T6 respectively (Table7). These results indicated that the highest total return was obtained in semi-intensive treatments. as well as in treatment 2, these indicating a positive correlation between total return and stocking density. Also, the same trend was observed in total costs, where they increased with increasing stocking density and consequently feed costs. The finding of Shaker *et al.* (2003); Yang Yi *et al.* (2003) and Shaker and Abdel-Aal (2006) are in agreement with obtained results. Moreover, the same trend was observed by the average cost/kg fish, while the opposite trend was observed in the rate of return to capital as percentage.

### CONCLUSION

from the previous results it can be concluded that ,semi-intensive culture system are the most production and profitable system.

### REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association (APHA), (2000).** Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. The 16<sup>th</sup> edition, Washington, D. C.
- Essa, M.A., El- Sherif, Z.M., Abdel-Moati, A.R. and Aboul-Ezz, S.M. (1988).** An experiment for scientific management of El-Khashaa fish farm, Kafr El-Shiekh Governorate. IN: Proc. First Scientific Conference on the Role of Scientific Research

**STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS**

- in the Development of Fish Resources. 6-8 Aug., Fac. Agriculture, Alexandria University. Alexandria, Egypt. pp. 55-62.
- Essa, M.A., El-Sherif, Z.M., Aboul-Ezz, S.M and Abdel-Moati, A.R. (1989).** Effect of water quality, food availability and crowding on rearing conditions and growth parameters of some economical fish species grown under polyculture systems. Bull. Nat. Inst. Oceanogr. and Fish., ARE, 15 (1): 125-134.
- Hassan, A.A. (2010).** Comparative effects of live food and artificial food in polyculture in concrete ponds. Abbassa Int.J. Aqua., Special Issue for The third Scientific Conference, Al Azhar University, Cairo 17-18 October, pp 21-39.
- Hassan, A.A., and Agouz, H. M. (2010).** Effect Of using periphyton substra (bamboo stems) on water quality, effect phytoplankton, zooplankton, periphyton and growth Performance for different fish species in polyculture in earthen ponds. Abbassa Int.J. Aqua., Vol.3 No.(1): 165-198.
- Hepher, B. (1988).** Nutrition of pond fishes. Cambridge University press. Cambridge
- Islam, S. M. (2002).** Evaluation of supplementary feeds for semi-intensive pond culture of mahseer, *Tor putitora* (Hamilton). Aqua., 212: 261,-216.
- Karplus, I.; Milstein, A.; Cohen, S. and Harpaz, S. (1996).** The effect of stocking different ratios of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L., and tilapias in polyculture ponds on production characteristics and profitability. Aqua. Res., 27: 447-453.
- Lin, H.R. (1982).** Polyculture system of freshwater fish in China. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sci., 39: 143-150.
- Milstein, A. (1992).** Ecological aspects of fish species interactions in polyculture ponds. Hydrobio., 231: 177-186.
- Moussa, S. M. S. (2004).** Impact of inorganic pollutants on aquatic environment and fish performance in lake Borollus. Ph. D. Thesis, Inst, of Environment Stud, and Res.,

#### HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

Biol. & Phys. Dep., Ain shams  
Univ., Egypt.

Egypt. The 6<sup>th</sup> Vet. Med.  
Zagazig. Conference, 7-9 Sept.  
2002, Hurghada, Egypt, pp.

**Shaker, I.M. and M. Abdel-Aal (2006).** Growth performance of fish reared under different densities in semi-intensive and extensive earthen ponds. Egypt. J. Aquat. Biol. & Fish.,10 (4): 109-127.

**Shkaer, I. M. A.; El-Nagdy, Z. A and Ibrahim, N. A. (2003).** Effect of organic manure and artificial feeding on water quality and growth performance on Mullet in earthen ponds in Sahl El-teena, Sinai, Egypt. The 1st Scientific conference of the Egyptian Aquaculture Society, Fac. of Environ. Agric. Sci., El-Arish, North Sinai, Egypt, 13-15 Dec. pp.77-96.

**Shaker, I. M.A; Ibrahim, N. A.; Dawa, M. A. A. and Zakar, A. H. (2002).**Effect of stocking density on water quality and mullet growth in earthen ponds at Sahl El-Teena - Senai -

**Statistical Analysis System (SAS) (2000).** SAS program Ver 6.12, SAS Institute Incorporation, Cary, NC 27513, USA.

**Steel, R.G.D and Torrie, J.H (1960).** Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw-Hill Co., New York.

**Sumagaysay, C. N. S. and Lourdes, S. D. M. (2003).** Water quality and holding capacity of intensive and semi-intensive milk fish (*Chanos chanos*) ponds. Aqua., 219: 413-429.

**Yang Yi; Kwei, C. L. and Diana, J. S. (2003).** Hybrid Catfish (*Clarias macrocephalus* x *C. gariepinus*) and Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) culture in an integrated pen-cum pond system: growth performance and nutrient budgets. Aqua., 217: 395-4.

STOCKING DENSITY AND ECONOMIC RETURN IN SEMI-INTENSIVE AND  
EXTENSIVE FISH CULTURE IN EARTHEN PONDS

تأثير الكثافة السمكية على أداء النمو والعائد الاقتصادي في الاستزراع السمكي الشبه  
المكثف والعاى بالأحواض الترابية

أحمد عبدالرحمن حسن- أحمد عبدالفتاح محمود

قسم الاستزراع السمكى بالمعمل المركزى لبحوث الثروه السمكيه بالعباسه-

أبو حماد- شرقية - مصر

فى دراسه لتأثير الكثافة العددية على أداء الأسماك بالأحواض الترابية لمدة خمسة  
شهور فى نظام الأستزراع المختلط (بلطى نيلى - البورى - المبروك العادى -  
المبروك الفضى والقراميط)، على مياه الصرف الزراعى بمزرعة برسويق -  
محافظة البحيرة قسمت الأحواض التجريبية الى مجموعتين، الأولى : النظام الشبه  
مكثف بكثافات ( ١٠ ، ١٥ ) سمكة / متر. والثانية : النظام العادى بكثافات ( ١ ، ١,٥ ،  
٢ ، ٢,٥ ) سمكة / متر. غذيت جميع الأحواض بعلف صناعى ٢٥% بروتين  
بمعدل ٣% من وزن الجسم على مرتين يوميا لمدة خمسة أيام اسبوعيا . تم تقدير  
خواص جودة المياه بصفة دورية مرة شهريا حيث لوحظ ارتفاع بعض خواص المياه  
فى النظام العادى عنه فى النظام الشبه مكثف وذلك فى ال EC- PH - القلوية -  
العسر - الفسفور الكلى T.P - الفسفور الذائب O.P - الكلورفيل. بينما لوحظ زيادة  
الأكسجين الذائب والأمونيا والنتريت والنترات فى النظام شبه المكثف عن النظام  
العادى كما لوحظ زيادة الكلورفيل كمؤشر عن ازدهار البلانكتون فى النظام العادى

## HASSAN AND MAHMOUD

عنه فى النظام الشبه مكثف . أما بالنسبة للأنتاج السمكى فقد كان أعلى بفروق معنوية فى النظام الشبه مكثف عن النظام العادى بينما لوحظ فى البلطى عدم وجود فروق معنوية فى متوسط الوزن النهائى وكذلك النمو اليومى. كما أشارت النتائج الى ارتفاع التكاليف المتغيره و الثابته نتيجة لزيادة معدلات الكثافة السمكية وسعر العلف و الاصبغيات وفائدة رأس المال الكلى وفائدة الأستثمار وكذلك ارتفاع العائد فى النظام شبه المكثف عنه فى النظام العادى أما بالنسبة لصافى العائد على وحدة التكاليف فقد كانت أعلى فى النظام العادى عن شبه المكثف.

### تشير النتائج إلى :

- زيادة الإستفاده من وحدة المساحه المائيه فى شبه المكثف عن العادى.
  - ارتفاع العائد المادى فى النظام الشبه مكثف عنه فى النظام العادى.
  - معدل المخاطره فى الأستزراع العادى أقل منه فى شبه المكثف.
- وعليه توصى هذه الدراسة بالتوسع فى المزارع بنظام الشبه مكثف للجدوى الاقتصادية العالية.